

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/727,358	KOLESNICK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian Whiteman	1635

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Brian Whiteman.

(3) _____.

(2) Christine Dietzel.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 May 2007

Time: 3:00 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 and 103 rejections

Claims discussed:

7,9-15,17-20,34,35,37-50

Prior art documents discussed:

Bennett and Griffais, cited on PTO-892

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed amending the claims to overcome rejections of record. In view of applicant agreeing to an examiner's amendment, the advisory action is vacated and the after final amendment has been entered.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)